

# Chapter 22

## Stochastic Volatility Models

The basic assumption of the Black-Scholes model is that the price process  $S_t$  is given by a geometric Brownian motion,

$$dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sigma S_t dx_t \quad (22.1)$$

with constant volatility  $\sigma$ . Now we drop the assumption of constant volatility and allow for a stochastic volatility. We assume that the (real, physical) price process is a solution of the SDE system

$$dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dB_t^1 \quad (22.2)$$

$$d\nu_t = \alpha(S_t, \nu_t, t) dt + \beta(S_t, \nu_t, t) \sqrt{\nu_t} dB_t^2 \quad (22.3)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are some functions and  $dB_t^1$  and  $dB_t^2$  are two Brownian motions with correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$dB_t^1 dB_t^2 = \rho dt \quad (22.4)$$

In terms of two uncorrelated Brownian motions  $dW_t^1$  and  $dW_t^2$ ,  $dW_t^1 \cdot dW_t^2 = 0$ , we can write

$$dB_t^1 = dW_t^1 \quad (22.5)$$

$$dB_t^2 = \rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2 \quad (22.6)$$

such that the system (22.2,22.3) can be rewritten as

$$d \begin{pmatrix} S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu S \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\nu} S & 0 \\ \beta \rho \sqrt{\nu} & \beta \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sqrt{\nu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dW^1 \\ dW^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22.7)$$

If we choose a Cox Ingersoll Ross process for the volatility,

$$\alpha(S_t, \nu_t, t) = \lambda(\bar{\nu} - \nu_t) \quad (22.8)$$

$$\beta(S_t, \nu_t, t) = \eta \quad (22.9)$$

with constants  $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{\nu}$  and  $\eta$ , we obtain the Heston model which is explicitly solvable. It will be discussed in the next chapter.

### Pricing and Hedging in Stochastic Volatility Models

Since the system (22.7) involves two sources of randomness,  $dW^1$  and  $dW^2$ , we cannot exact replicate by using only the risky asset  $S$  as a hedging instrument. The model would be complete if we could use  $S$  and the volatility  $\nu$  for hedging, but the volatility itself is not a tradable asset. However, there are liquid options which depend on the volatility. Thus, for our replicating portfolio we use  $S$  and some option with value  $C(S, \nu)$ . If we set up a selffinancing strategy which holds

$$\delta_{j-1} \text{ stocks at the beginning of time } j \text{ and } \delta_j \text{ stocks at the end of time } j \quad (22.10)$$

$$\gamma_{j-1} \text{ options at the beginning of time } j \text{ and } \gamma_j \text{ options at the end of time } j \quad (22.11)$$

then the same analysis as in lecture 1 shows that the discounted portfolio value  $v_k = R^{-k}V_k$  at time  $k$  is given by

$$v_k = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_{j-1}(s_j - s_{j-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_{j-1}(c_j - c_{j-1}) \quad (22.12)$$

where, as usual,  $s_j = R^{-j}S_j$  is the discounted stock price process and

$$c_j = R^{-j}C(S_j, \nu_j) \quad (22.13)$$

is the discounted option value. Mathematically,  $C$  is just some function of  $\nu$  which has to change if the volatility  $\nu$  changes. That is,

$$\partial C / \partial \nu \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } S, \nu. \quad (22.14)$$

Then exact replication in a suitably defined discrete time approximation of (22.7) is possible and  $v_k$  is the discounted price of the replicated contingent claim. In a similar way as for the Black-Scholes case, we can derive a PDE for  $v_k \equiv v_{t_k} \equiv v_t$  in the continuous time limit. From (22.12) we get

$$dv = \delta ds + \gamma dc \quad (22.15)$$

or

$$dV - rV dt = \delta(dS - rS dt) + \gamma(dC - rC dt) \quad (22.16)$$

Abbreviating  $V_t = \partial V / \partial t$ ,  $V_{SS} = \partial^2 V / (\partial S)^2$  etc., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dV &= V_t dt + V_S dS + \frac{1}{2} V_{SS} (dS)^2 + V_\nu d\nu + \frac{1}{2} V_{\nu\nu} (d\nu)^2 + V_{S\nu} dS d\nu \\
&= V_t dt + V_S (\mu S dt + \sqrt{\nu} S dW_t^1) + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 V_{SS} dt \\
&\quad + V_\nu \left( \alpha dt + \beta \sqrt{\nu} (\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2) \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 V_{\nu\nu} dt \\
&\quad + V_{S\nu} \rho \nu S \beta dt \\
&= \left( V_t + \mu S V_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 V_{SS} + \alpha V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 V_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S V_{S\nu} \right) dt \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\nu} (S V_S + \beta \rho V_\nu) dW_t^1 + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} V_\nu dW_t^2
\end{aligned} \tag{22.17}$$

where we used (22.7) in the second line. The same expression is obtained for  $dC$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
dC &= \left( C_t + \mu S C_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S C_{S\nu} \right) dt \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\nu} (S C_S + \beta \rho C_\nu) dW_t^1 + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} C_\nu dW_t^2
\end{aligned} \tag{22.18}$$

Substituting (22.17) and (22.18) into (22.16), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( V_t + \mu S V_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 V_{SS} + \alpha V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 V_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S V_{S\nu} - rV \right) dt + \sqrt{\nu} (S V_S + \beta \rho V_\nu) dW_t^1 \\
&\quad + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} V_\nu dW_t^2 = \delta (\mu - r) S dt + \delta \sqrt{\nu} S dW_t^1 \\
&+ \gamma \left( C_t + \mu S C_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S C_{S\nu} - rC \right) dt \\
&\quad + \gamma \sqrt{\nu} (S C_S + \beta \rho C_\nu) dW_t^1 + \gamma \beta \sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} C_\nu dW_t^2
\end{aligned} \tag{22.19}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $dW_t^2$ , we find

$$\gamma = \frac{V_\nu}{C_\nu} \tag{22.20}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $dW_t^1$ , we get

$$S V_S + \beta \rho V_\nu = \delta S + \gamma (S C_S + \beta \rho C_\nu) \tag{22.21}$$

or

$$\delta = V_S - \gamma C_S = V_S - \frac{V_\nu}{C_\nu} C_S \tag{22.22}$$

Finally, by comparing the drift coefficients, we get the PDE for  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t + \mu S V_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 V_{SS} + \alpha V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 V_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S V_{S\nu} - rV &= \\
= \delta (\mu - r) S + \gamma \left( C_t + \mu S C_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S C_{S\nu} - rC \right) \\
\stackrel{(22.22)}{=} V_S (\mu - r) S - \gamma C_S (\mu - r) S \\
+ \gamma \left( C_t + \mu S C_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho \nu \beta S C_{S\nu} - rC \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{22.23}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t + rSV_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} + \alpha V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} - rV &= & (22.24) \\
&= \gamma \left( C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC \right) \\
&= \frac{V_\nu}{C_\nu} \left( C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC \right)
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{V_t + rSV_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} + \alpha V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} - rV}{V_\nu} \\
&= \frac{C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC}{C_\nu} & (22.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the left hand side of (22.25) only depends on the value (and derivatives thereof) of the contingent claim which is to be replicated. This value has to be independent of the hedging instruments since otherwise there would be arbitrage opportunities. That is, whatever option  $C$  we take as a hedging instrument, the right hand side of (22.25) has to be some universal function  $\phi(t, S, \nu)$  which is independent of  $C$ . In other words, if the real market would behave according to (22.7) and all options would be consistently priced, then the quotient

$$\frac{C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC}{C_\nu} =: \phi(t, S, \nu) \quad \text{independent of } C \quad (22.26)$$

has to be the same for all options. The PDE for  $V$  then reads

$$V_t + rSV_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} + (\alpha - \phi)V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} - rV = 0 \quad (22.27)$$

with final condition

$$V(T, S_T, \nu_T) = H(S_T) \quad (22.28)$$

if  $H$  denotes the payoff of the replicated contingent claim. In particular, the pricing equation does not depend on  $\alpha$  since

$$\phi - \alpha = \frac{C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC}{C_\nu} =: \tilde{\phi} \quad (22.29)$$

### The Equivalent Martingale Measure

Let  $h = e^{-rT}H$  or  $h = R^{-N}H$  the discounted payoff of the contingent claim  $H$  which is replicated by the selffinancing strategy  $(\delta_k, \gamma_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N-1}$  at time  $N$ . Thus, by equation (22.12),

$$h = v_N = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{j-1}(s_j - s_{j-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_{j-1}(c_j - c_{j-1}) \quad (22.30)$$

or in continuous time

$$h = v_T = v_0 + \int_0^T \delta_t ds_t + \int_0^T \gamma_t dc_t \quad (22.31)$$

and we can write

$$v_0 = \mathbf{E}_{\tilde{W}}[h] \quad (22.32)$$

for any measure  $\tilde{W}$  for which the processes  $(s_t)$  and  $(c_t)$  are martingales. To determine  $\tilde{W}$ , we use the Girsanov Theorem 14.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ds_t &= e^{-rt} dS_t - r s_t dt \\ &= (\mu - r) s_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} s_t dx_t^1 \end{aligned} \quad (22.33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dc_t &= e^{-rt} dC_t - r c_t dt \\ &= e^{-rt} \left( C_t + \mu SC_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \alpha C_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC_t \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\nu}(sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu) dx_t^1 + \beta\sqrt{\nu}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu dx_t^2 \\ &= ((\mu - r)sc_s + \phi c_\nu) dt + \sqrt{\nu}(sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu) dx_t^1 + \beta\sqrt{\nu}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu dx_t^2 \end{aligned} \quad (22.34)$$

where  $d\vec{x}_t = (dx_t^1, dx_t^2)$  is a two dimensional uncorrelated Brownian motion and  $\phi$  is the function of (22.26). Also we used  $e^{-rt}SC_S = e^{-rt}sC_s = sc_s$ . In matrix notation, this reads

$$d \begin{pmatrix} s \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mu - r)s \\ (\mu - r)sc_s + \phi c_\nu \end{pmatrix} dt + \sqrt{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu & \beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu \end{pmatrix} d\vec{x} \quad (22.35)$$

In order to eliminate the drift term, we make the substitution of variables  $\{\vec{x}_t\} \rightarrow \{\vec{y}_t\}$  given by

$$\vec{y}_t = \vec{x}_t + \int_0^t \vec{u}_s ds \quad (22.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t}} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu & \beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\mu - r)s \\ (\mu - r)sc_s + \phi c_\nu \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t}} \begin{pmatrix} 1/s & 0 \\ -\frac{sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu}{s\beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu} & \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\mu - r)s \\ (\mu - r)sc_s + \phi c_\nu \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu - r \\ -\frac{sc_s + \beta\rho c_\nu}{\beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu}(\mu - r) + \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}c_\nu} [(\mu - r)sc_s + \phi c_\nu] \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu - r \\ -\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}(\mu - r) + \frac{\phi}{\beta\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu - r \\ \frac{\phi/\beta - \rho(\mu - r)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (22.37)$$

Then, in terms of the new variables,  $s$  and  $c$  satisfy the SDE system

$$d \begin{pmatrix} s \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ sc_s + \beta \rho c_\nu \\ \beta \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} c_\nu \end{pmatrix} d\vec{y} \quad (22.38)$$

Thus,  $s$  and  $c$  are martingales with respect to the Wiener measure  $dW(y)$  for the  $y$ -variables. In terms of the original  $x$ -variables, this measure is given by

$$dW_{(0,T]}(\{\vec{y}_t\}_{0 < t \leq T}) = e^{-\int_0^T \bar{u}_s d\bar{x}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \bar{u}_s^2 ds} dW_{(0,T]}(\{\vec{x}_t\}_{0 < t \leq T}) \quad (22.39)$$

Hence, if  $H(S_T) = e^{rT} h(S_T)$  denotes the payoff of some european option, then the price at time  $t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[H | S_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \int H \left( S_t e^{\int_t^T \sqrt{\nu}_s dy_s^1 + \int_t^T (r - \frac{\nu_s}{2}) ds} \right) dW_{(t,T]}(y^1, y^2) \end{aligned} \quad (22.40)$$

Here we used the fact that

$$s_T = s_t e^{\int_t^T \sqrt{\nu}_s dy_s^1 - \int_t^T \frac{\nu_s}{2} ds} \quad (22.41)$$

is the solution of the SDE (22.38),

$$ds_u = \sqrt{\nu}_u dy_u^1, \quad s_u|_{u=t} = s_t \quad (22.42)$$

Finally, what is the SDE for  $\nu$  in terms of the new  $y$  variables? Again, we use the Girsanov Theorem 14.2. With respect to the  $x$  variables,

$$\begin{aligned} d\nu &= \alpha dt + \beta \sqrt{\nu} (\rho dx^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dx^2) \\ &= \alpha dt + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \left( \rho \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} dx^1 \\ dx^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \alpha dt + \sigma d\vec{x} \end{aligned} \quad (22.43)$$

If  $\nu$  is considered as a function of the  $y$  variables, then, by the Girsanov theorem, it satisfies the SDE

$$d\nu = \tilde{\alpha} dt + \sigma d\vec{y} \quad (22.44)$$

where the new drift term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} &= \alpha - \sigma \vec{u} \\ &= \alpha - \beta \sqrt{\nu} \left( \rho \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}_t} \begin{pmatrix} \mu - r \\ \frac{\phi/\beta - \rho(\mu - r)}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \alpha - \beta \{ \rho(\mu - r) + \phi/\beta - \rho(\mu - r) \} \\ &= \alpha - \phi \end{aligned} \quad (22.45)$$

We summarize our results in the following

**Theorem 22.1:** Let the price process  $S_t$  of some risky asset be given by the solution of the SDE stochastic volatility system

$$dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dB_t^1 \quad (22.46)$$

$$d\nu_t = \alpha(S_t, \nu_t, t) dt + \beta(S_t, \nu_t, t) \sqrt{\nu_t} dB_t^2 \quad (22.47)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are some functions and  $dB_t^1$  and  $dB_t^2$  are two Brownian motions with correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $dB_t^1 dB_t^2 = \rho dt$ . Let

$$H = H(\{S_t\}) \quad (22.48)$$

be the payoff of some (probably exotic) european option. Suppose constant interest rates  $r$ .

- a) The price  $V_{t_0}$  at time  $t_0$  for the contingent claim  $H$  is given by ( $dW$  being the usual 2-dimensional Wiener measure)

$$V_{t_0} = e^{-r(T-t_0)} \int H\left(\left\{S_{t_0} e^{\int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{\nu_s} dy_s^1 + \int_{t_0}^t (r - \frac{\nu_s}{2}) ds}\right\}_{t_0 \leq t \leq T}\right) dW_{(t_0, T]}(y^1, y^2) \quad (22.49)$$

where  $\nu$  is a solution of the SDE

$$d\nu = (\alpha - \phi) dt + \beta \sqrt{\nu} \left( \rho dy^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dy^2 \right) \quad (22.50)$$

and  $\phi$  is the universal function given by (22.26). In particular,  $\alpha - \phi$  does not depend on the (physical, real) drift  $\alpha$  of (22.47) since

$$\phi - \alpha = \frac{C_t + rSC_S + \frac{\nu}{2} S^2 C_{SS} + \frac{\nu}{2} \beta^2 C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} - rC}{C_\nu} =: \tilde{\phi} \quad (22.51)$$

- b) Let  $C = C(S_t, \nu_t, t)$  be some liquid option which is used as a hedging instrument, besides  $S$ , and suppose  $C_\nu \neq 0$  for all  $S, \nu$ . Then a selffinancing replicating strategy is given by holding  $\delta_t$  stocks  $S_t$  and  $\gamma_t$  options  $C_t$  at time  $t$ , where

$$\gamma = V_\nu / C_\nu \quad (22.52)$$

$$\delta = V_S - \gamma C_S = V_S - \frac{V_\nu}{C_\nu} C_S \quad (22.53)$$

and the discounted value  $v_t = e^{-rt} V_t$  of the replicating portfolio at time  $t$  is given by

$$v_t = v_0 + \int_0^t \delta_u ds_u + \int_0^t \gamma_u dc_u, \quad v_T = e^{-rT} H \quad (22.54)$$

- c) If the payoff  $H$  depends only on  $S_T$ ,  $H = H(S_T)$ , then the option price  $V_t$  is a solution of the PDE

$$V_t + rSV_S + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} - \tilde{\phi}V_\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} - rV = 0 \quad (22.55)$$

with final condition  $V(T, S_T, \nu_T) = H(S_T)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  given by (22.51).

**Proof:** It remains to prove that if  $V$  is a solution of (22.55) with final condition  $V(T, S_T, \nu_T) = H(S_T)$  and  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are chosen as in (22.52,22.53), then in fact

$$v_0 + \int_0^T \delta_t ds_t + \int_0^T \gamma_t dc_t = e^{-rT}H \quad (22.56)$$

is a replicating portfolio for the payoff  $H$ . For simplicity, we assume zero interest rates,  $r = 0$ . Then we have to prove

$$H = V_0 + \int_0^T \delta_t dS_t + \int_0^T \gamma_t dC_t \quad (22.57)$$

if (22.55) holds with final condition  $V(T, S_T, \nu_T) = H(S_T)$  and if  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are chosen as in (22.52,22.53). By use of the Ito formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &= V(T, S_T, \nu_T) = V_0 + \int_0^T dV_t \quad (22.58) \\ &= V_0 + \int_0^T \{V_t dt + V_S dS_t + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} dt + V_\nu d\nu_t + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} dt + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} dt\} \\ &= V_0 + \int_0^T \{(V_S - \gamma C_S) dS_t + \gamma dC_t\} \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \{\gamma C_S dS - \gamma dC_t + V_t dt + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} dt + V_\nu d\nu + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} dt + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} dt\} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma C_S dS - \gamma dC_t + V_\nu d\nu &= \gamma C_S dS - \gamma [C_S dS + C_\nu d\nu + C_t dt] + V_\nu d\nu \\ &\quad - \gamma \left[ \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu} \right] dt \\ &= -V_\nu \frac{C_t + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2C_{SS} + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2C_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SC_{S\nu}}{C_\nu} dt \\ &= -V_\nu \tilde{\phi} dt \quad (22.59) \end{aligned}$$

equation (22.58) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H &= V_0 + \int_0^T \{\delta_t dS_t + \gamma_t dC_t\} \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \left\{ -\tilde{\phi} V_\nu + V_t + \frac{\nu}{2}S^2V_{SS} + \frac{\nu}{2}\beta^2V_{\nu\nu} + \rho\nu\beta SV_{S\nu} \right\} dt \quad (22.60) \\ &= V_0 + \int_0^T \{\delta_t dS_t + \gamma_t dC_t\} \end{aligned}$$

since the wavy brackets in (22.60) vanish by assumption on  $V$ . ■